

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERPLEXITY SOLVED SOLUTIONS,
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PERPLEXITY AI, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. [25-cv-00989-JSC](#)

**ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR
CONTINUANCE**

PERPLEXITY AI, INC.,

Counterclaimant-Plaintiff,

v.

PERPLEXITY SOLVED SOLUTIONS,
INC.,

Counterclaim-Defendant.

More than two months ago the Court granted the request of counsel for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Perplexity Solved Solutions, Inc. to withdraw. (Dkt. No. 53.) The Court specifically warned Plaintiff that because it is a corporation and “a corporation may appear in the federal courts only through licensed counsel,” *Rowland v. California Men’s Colony, Unit II Men’s Advisory Council*, 506 U.S. 194, 202 (1993) (citation omitted), if no new counsel appeared in this action, Plaintiff’s claims would have to be dismissed, and Plaintiff’s default could be entered on Perplexity AI’s counterclaims. As of the date of this Order, no new counsel has appeared to represent Plaintiff.

On October 9, 2025, Dawn Gallman Mobley, who is not an attorney, emailed the Court’s

1 Courtroom Deputy requesting a continuance of court proceedings. As Ms. Mobley did not copy
2 Perplexity AI, Inc.'s counsel, the email communication is attached to this Order. Perplexity AI,
3 Inc.'s motion scheduled for hearing on October 30, 2025 remains on calendar and is not
4 continued.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: October 9, 2025

7 
8 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28